UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

	APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
	10/675,419	09/30/2003	John M. Kulp	CT-001	6182	
		7590 03/02/200 ON & EVANS, LLP (7 TOKYO ELECTRON)	EXAMINER		
	2700 CAREW	2700 CAREW TOWER			CHACKO DAVIS, DABORAH	
	441 VINE STREET CINCINNATI, OH 45202			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				1756		
				NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
				03/02/2007	ELECTRONIC	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

dgoodman@whepatent.com usptodock@whepatent.com

Application No. Applicant(s) Advisory Action KULP, JOHN M. 10/675,419 Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief **Art Unit** Examiner 1756 Daborah Chacko-Davis --The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --THE REPLY FILED 09 February 2007 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. 1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods: a) The period for reply expires <u>4</u> months from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on 09 February 2007. A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a). **AMENDMENTS** 3. 🔯 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06) Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Part of Paper No. 2007022
	February 26, 2007.
	dcd
10. [_] Ottlet	
13. Other:	 ·
See Continuation Sheet. 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)	
because:	
11. 🛛 The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the a	application in condition for allowance
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	ner entry to below or attached.
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims af	, , , ,
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior t entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome <u>all</u> rejections under a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presente.	appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a
and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	
because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the af	
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing	a a Notice of Anneal will not be entered
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>none</u> . AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	•
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-35</u> .	
Claim(s) objected to: <u>none</u> .	
Claim(s) allowed: <u>none</u> .	
how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entered, or b)	will be entered and an explanation of
the non-allowable claim(s).	
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a sepa	rate, timely filed amendment canceling
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):	m-complaint Ameriament (1 102-024).
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of No	on-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324)
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finall NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	ly rejected claims.
appeal; and/or	

*Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303)

Application No.

Continuation of 3. NOTE: Amending claims 1-35 to change the limitation "a surfactant-containing liquid" to "a sacrificial surfactant-containing liquid"; and adding limitations to claims 1, and 20, to recite "applying a sacrificial surfactant-containing liquid onto the resist film to pre-wet the resist film" raises new issues and requires further search and consideration.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: of the reasons set forth in the final rejection and because the newly raised arguments are directed towards the unentered amendment.

MARK F. HUFF SUPERASORY PATENT EVALUATER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1709